Disclosure Policy

George presented the draft of the Disclosure Policy, which is a subdocument to the Shared Print MOU. This document was sent out to the committee via email on 8 January 2015. Nancy Allen presented background to this document:

- The Member Council met on 9 January 2015, and discussed both the Shared Print MOU and a subdocument, the Last Copy Policy.
- Feedback from Member Council was generally positive. Member Council that some of the specific details be left out of the MOU and instead be incorporated into the subdocuments. They also questioned whether the MOU should have a time frame and if so, what it should be. Should agreements made under the MOU on shared print be binding for 25 years? A lesser time? Should the 25 year time frame begin with the signing of the MOU, so that all agreements expire at the same time? Or should the 25 years begin with each separate agreement that is signed under the MOU umbrella, so that each agreement would end at a different time?
- George stated that Member Council wanted the MOU to indicate that a library with expertise and strong collections in a particular area would be given first refusal of material in that area that another library was weeding.

The subcommittee which worked on the document was comprised of George Machovec (Alliance), Michael Levine-Clark (DU), Joan Lamborn (UNC), Yem Fong (CUB), Paul Moeller (CUB), Jessica Hayden (UNC), and Beth Oehlerts (CSU). Some of their parameters are the following:

- Some information is required, e.g. reporting through OCLC. Other information is optional.
- MARC guidelines are followed.
- Libraries can report more information than what is required.

Discussion of the Disclosure Policy elicited some suggestions for changes/amendments:

- The Disclosure Policy document should refer to “Materials” rather than to “Monographs.”
- Clarification needed between required and optional information.
- Provide a link to OCLC documentation at the end of the document.
ACTION: George will incorporate changes and redistribute. The edited document will be presented at the joint Member Council/Board meeting on 22 January 2015. It will then go to CU legal counsel.

Additional subdocuments to the MOU might include documents specific to serials and media.

Meeting at ALA Midwinter on the Elsevier contract renewal

Our Elsevier/ScienceDirect contract is in its final year (2013-15 contract). Discussions on renewal will begin at ALA midwinter, with a meeting on Saturday, 31 January 2015 at 4 p.m. Place to be announced. Deans/Directors, collection development personnel welcome. Yem Fong suggested that any concerns people have, or suggestions for topics germane to the contract renewal, be shared with the group. People are encouraged to post to the Collection Development listserv with such topics and concerns.

Databases under consideration

Terry presented the list of databases for which offers are on the table:

**Databases under consideration**

- Digital Loeb Classical Library. Lyrasis initiative. Info sent out 1.6.15. Libraries are asked to indicate interest (with no commitment) by Feb 1. Pricing is by FTE band. So far 3 libraries have expressed interest.
- Elsevier ebooks. Jenn Tesch and Lorane Crawford are supposed to present a new proposal. They are or will be contacting each library to discuss the possibility of purchasing ebook subject collections which correlate with high use areas.
- RMA eStatements. Information sent out 10.23.14. Flat rate of $2,750 per library. One library is subscribing; waiting for license.

**Databases no longer under consideration**

- AIP Digital Archives and journals.
- Ideas Roadshow.
- National Geographic/Smithsonian collections.
- Sage *Research Methods and Cases*. Info sent out June 2014. 3 libraries have purchased.
- Sage Stats. One library purchased a couple of modules.
- **SCOPUS**. Elsevier. Info sent out 12.4.14. My understanding of the proposal is that if the CU campuses and DU go forward for 2015 at a total cost of $130K, access would be given to the entire Alliance for 2015. Costs for 2016 for each institution are in the proposal.
• TAIR. Two libraries purchased.

NOTE 1: databases for which offers and/or info has been sent out are listed in Gold Rush, Alliance Group Contracts, with a designation of “Under consideration.” I’ve changed a number of them to “No longer under consideration,” as they’re old offers, but depending on the vendor the offer may still be open. Or at least open to negotiation.

NOTE 2: you all can access the Alliance Group Contracts section of Gold Rush and see all the databases we either have or are considering, using the following directions:

  Go to: https://grcompare.coalliance.org/home.html

  Username: COLDEV-L@LIST.COALLIANCE.ORG (upper or lower case)

  Password: SCDCguest (case sensitive)

After you login, click on the Subscriptions tab at the top to view Gold Rush contracts.

NOTE 3: I sent out a spreadsheet on Jan 5, listing all items “Under consideration.” Let me know if this would be something you’d like me to send out on a regular basis, maybe quarterly. three votes are in – two voting for a quarterly update, and one for a monthly one, sent out prior to the SCDC meeting.

NOTE on NOTE 3: there was no real consensus as to whether the spreadsheet would be valuable, or if it is, how often it should be sent out. For the moment, Terry will send out a spreadsheet in advance of the Shared CD meeting. This will take the place of the Word document previously sent out.

Meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.
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