

Prospector Cat/Ref Committee Meeting
July 21, 2016

Attendees:

In Person:

Paul Moeller (Chair), CU Boulder
Cynthia Wilson, JCPL
Virginia Inness, Colorado State Publications Library
Laura Wright, CU Boulder
Karen Neville Colorado Christian University
Kim Medima, Regis
Pamela Blome, Colorado School of Mines
Jessica Hayden UNC
Erin Elzi DU
David Pimentel, DPL
Janet Ryan, DPL (Recorder)
Melanie Walker, DU
Chris Long, CU Boulder
Vera Gao, Auraria
Rose Nelson, Alliance

Via GoToMeeting

Jamie Walker, Colorado Mesa University
Lloyd Chittenden, Ft Lewis, representing Marmot
Nicole Becwar, Western
Emily Epstein
Jo Norris, Vail
Alla Kroychick
Mary Walsh
Nina Dorlington

Updates from Rose:

1. Reviewed [Q1 statistics](#) (Bib and Item Quarterly Statistics)
2. DU has migrated to Alma, now need to get them back into Prospector, working on record profiling and to get them into DCB box, also NSIP integration
3. Longmont joined Flatirons, switched over to Sierra, they were already a net lender but now they're trying to get balanced out, easier to do it in one system now that they're not on DCB

4. Update on DPL moving to API integration

- Prospector moved to Inn-Reach 3.0 to allow DPL to integrate via API
- DPL needs to upgrade Polaris and then get the API done, right now going through DCB box
- At some point there will be a cutoff where DPL's DCB box will be shut down for 55 days to allow for all transactions to be completed before startup can happen in API.
- DPL's records will come out of Prospector, then will be recontributed under a new DPL code; testing is already happening in test mode.
- DPL can use API because Polaris is the only ILS with an API into INN-Reach since Polaris was acquired by Innovative. Other ILS's won't have an API connection, will have to continue to use the DCB box.
- By our next meeting in October, DPL should be close to complete with transfer to new DPL code and API

5. Anythink library will join Prospector in the fall; they are Sirsi Dynix; others are in the works.

6. Library collection analysis software:

- There are new contracts with other libraries, some in the south and in New York
- Working on call number range comparisons
- The impetus to create the collection analysis software was the shared print initiative. Not all Prospector/Alliance libraries have contributed their collection records.
- Missing:
 - a. UCCS
 - b. Health Sciences library
 - c. DPL – partial set, need the full collection

7. Best Practices Sub-committee: David Pimentel, Cynthia Wilson, Diana Gunnells, Laura Wright – met to work on best practices loading MARC records for electronic record sets, updated old documents. See: [Loading Electronic Resources into Prospector](#) (PDF)

8. 583 Field, local holdings, in Prospector

- Now able to display the 583 field in Prospector
- 583 displays as follows in the holdings in the traditional interface
RETENTION NOTE: <https://www.coalliance.org/shared-print-archiving-policies>

Presentations:

ALA & PCC BIBFRAME and Linked Data Update

Chris Long, CU Boulder

See presentation documents, with many helpful links, here:

https://www.coalliance.org/sites/default/files/Bibframe_Long.pptx

[Highlights from the Recorder:

New training materials from LC

See especially RDA Record Examples

Online Library of Congress Subject Headings Training – impetus was for international training, plans for about 40 training units – each about 20 minutes long.

PCC's report on available linked data training resources – doc being kept up to date with new training resources

FRBR-LRM

Current linked data initiatives

Three trying to discuss creating native BibFrame records

BibFrame 1.0 data cannot be crosswalked into Bibframe 2.0, LC's pilot was undertaken in BF 1.0, data will need to be scuttled and re-done for Bibframe 2.0

Next pilot will use 2.0 and not start until at least Oct 2016

Will need to figure out how to convert BF 1.0 to 2.0

Store BF 2.0 in a triple store

Find a way to convert 2.0 back to MARC for libraries who aren't ready to start with BV yet

LD4P – Linked data for production

BIBFLOW

Projects working on developing workflows, system agnostic, to help libraries figure out new processes
(uncouple our brains from MARC)

Question – when will this all be implemented? Time frame, talked about 5 years is probably safe, unknown really, do we really think this will happen, after all FRBR is 20 years old and never took off. Lots has to be done before it gets going – ILSs, document storage, processes.
Say it again: Uncouple our brains from MARC.]

CC:DA report for ALA Annual Conference 2016

Jessica Hayden reported on her presentation at ALA.

See presentation notes:

<https://www.coalliance.org/sites/default/files/CCDAReport2016Hayden.docx>

Getting Started with MARC Edit

[Getting Started with MarcEdit \(Recorded Presentation\)](#) Laura Wright and Melanie Walker of CU-Boulder

[Getting Started with MarcEdit](#) PowerPoint

--

Discussion:

Would the committee be interested in a second MarcEdit session?

What if people brought in their problems so the group could work on solutions together?

What are the problems you're currently solving with this tool?

If edits can be done in Sierra or your ILS, do it. Otherwise use MARCEdit
Used primarily for incoming vendor records to edit before uploading to ILS
Also depends on load tables, what they do, and if you have access to them
Lloyd, one interest for future session:

Regular expressions version in MARC edit is not the same as the version of Regular Expression that is in Sierra

Part 2 is being developed, let us know

Seeking topics for future meetings:

Discussed "ILS transition, what worked and what didn't" DU might be able to present at that time, might help with other libraries transitioning to Ex Libris, Mines is a year into it,

Next meeting is Thursday, October 20, 2016

See [Prospector Catalog Reference Committee](#) page on the Alliance site for minutes, committee members, presentations and best practices documents.